G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03.
(Mudgal)

File No. 110

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month June 1908

SUBJECT.

File re Venkatakrishnacharlu's claim to "Bidimania" appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	64 of 09.

819

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:—

"Bidimanian" appointment in the Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. $\frac{S^{a}1}{335}$ dated 7th Sept: 1903

~~form~~

from the Dy Commrs, Mysore District.

One Jeergadi Venkatia Nara - simhachar of Melkote submitted a memorial to His Highness the Maharaja on the 7th March 1903, praying that the "Bidimanian" appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote might be confirmed on him. This memorial was received in this Office with the Private Secretary's Dem no 1539 dated 9th March 1903, for disposal.

The

The Dy Comr of the Nagpur District, to whom the petition was referred for report, has submitted the records of his enquiry with the letter referred to above. The following material points are gathered from the records :—

The "Pidimanie" appointment in this temple carries a salary of Rs 1-8-0 per ☥ mensem. The original Surunadar of this post appears to be the adopted father of one Timmaraniengar. On his death prior to 1866, Timmaraniengar, who was then a minor, was appointed to the post, his real father Tolappa Alasingachar being required to look after the duties during his son's minority. The Bud the minor appears to have been dismissed in 1866, having been found fault with in connection with some dishonours shown to the Parakala Swamigal when in the month

when, at his request, the Zamorinship was transferred to the name of his son Sijjakutti Lumpatkumaraehar, who had been actually doing the duty. The latter held the post until his death in 1902. Thus, it is evident that the appointment had been in undisputed enjoyment of Singhachar and his son for about 36 years and that the former was appointed through Government agency in 1866 as the sole Zamorindar, and not as Samasta on behalf of Sivaramaiengar. Nothing was heard of Sivaramaiengar since then.

4. Sivaramaiengar's son, Jeergadi Venkatramanasimhachar, petitioned the Dy. Comr. of the Nilgiris District on the 28th May 1901 stating that his father had submitted a petition in 1894 × not forthcoming about the "Bidimanisa" appointment, to which he had received no reply; that at the time when Singhachar retired he had

had applied for the post, but did not get any reply; and he learnt that at the time of the suspension of the temple Establishment the Bramin had been transferred to Singhachari's son. He thereupon prayed for the restoration of his trust in accordance with the Division Superintendent's order of 1871. The matter was delayed in the French-Rocks Sub-Talluk for about 2 years until a report was called for from this Office, as noted in para 1 of this Office-note. On the death of Sampathkumaracharlu in 1902 his

5. In reporting on the subject the Dy Amildar of French-Rocks Mr Doraisami Pillay, has merely stated that the two contending applicants were, but observed that Singhachari's son, the deceased Sampathkumaracharlu had held 3 appointments Viz Stanik, Amma - Navara Sannidhi Parichanika

Father Singhachari gave petitions to the local Offins, praying for the transfer of the Samavad to the name of the deceased adopted son Ranga-Sami alias Sree-Rangachar.—

and Bidimanica; that Singlachar contended in his petitions that the "Bidimanica" appointment was being enjoyed by him and his son for more than 33 years and therefore requested the appointments to be given to the deceased's adopted minor son. & 2nd accused however soliciting instructions in the matter of this particular appointment, he further observed that as there was no dispute about the other two appointments viz. Stanicka and Annamanara Lamidhi Parichanike, they might be registered in the name of the adopted minor grandson of Singlachar.

6. The Sub-Ag Officer Mr N Venkat Rao in his report to the Dy Comr, recommended that, from the Division Supt's order of 1871 as the

~~that~~ of Tirumaramingar did not appear to have been established, ~~and~~ Singhalkar's request might be complied with.

7. In submitting the entire correspondence for the orders of Government, the Hon'ble Mr. Kunhiraja says ~~thinks~~ observes that the Tamasha who was doing the duty during the minority of Tirumaramingar was removed for some fault & Singhalkar was appointed to the place (Bidimanie) and that the appointment has not been hereditary in his family but that of the petitioner (Venkata Narasimhachary) He has however not given his final opinion. How long the appointment was in the enjoyment of the petitioner's prior to Singhalkar even family is not clear. ~~The petitioner's~~ (Venkata Narasimha Char's) father died never do duty, beyond being a minor bore-warder for a very short time. Why the

Government

(8)

petitioner kept quiet until 1901

is also not clear.

Submitted

Rs
15/11/03

Singhachar has in one of his
himself
petitions to the Sub-Judge requested
Bidimanie
of all the appointments held by his deceased son
that the Banavara may be made
in his name or in the name
of his minor grand-son — The
emoluments attached to
the 3 appointments held
by the deceased Sumbli
Kumarachar are as noted
in the margin.

Submitted
Rs
15/11/03

(1) Bidimanie Rs 1-8-0
(2) Atmick
(3) Annamma
Seenichetty
Seenichetty

Sabd to the Dewan

It seems unnecessary now
to enter into the question of the petitioner's
right to the appointment in question. It
will be seen that Singhachar who held
the appointment from 1866 up to 1899, is
and who voluntarily had the appointment
transferred to the name of his son (since
dead) is still living and is willing
to perform the duties of the Office. I have
seen this Singhachar on every occasion
that

I went to Melkote for the Vairamudi festival and found him helping in the temple services with great interest and Zeal. He left the appointment in favour of his son in 1899 because he was then practising as a Pleader in Srirangapatam, but since his son's death, he has retired from practice and is able to devote all his time to temple service in Melkote. He is still able-bodied and his re-employment is beneficial to the temple. I would respectfully recommend therefore that the Bavanad be again restored to his name. The Petitioner's claim does not arise as long as Singlachar holds the appointment.

9/12/03

14595
9.12.03
06006

yes-

9-XII-03

Note continued

Singlachar to whose name the Baraward of Bidmania in the Sri Narayana swami temple at Melkote has been transferred@ as per orders of the Dewan recorded above now says* that the pay of the appointment is very small being only Rs 1-8-0 per mensem, that he is not able to attend to the duties appertaining thereto personally, and that in consideration of the services alleged to have been rendered by his ancestors from the time of Sri Rama-nujacharya, he may be either given an increase of pay or the Baraward of the appointment transferred to the name of his adopted grandson Sreerangachar At the same time, he adds that if his prayer cannot be granted, he may be considered to have resigned the appointment

@ in Govt Bo. No 1717 dy 15.12.03 mutn 150-92

* in his Petition No. 3414 dated 24th June 1904.

Submitted

Rs
9/7/04

Send the present papers to the Dy Comr for his Report with his opinion in the Matter
End to Petur 30/7/04 RK

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

c Note continued

Srinivasa's petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for report, as directed above. Meanwhile, Venkata Narasimhaya, ex-Bidinamiagar of the same (Sri Narayana Swami) temple at Melkote, presented a Counter-petition No 1442, dated 24th January 1905 to His Highness the Maharaja, complaining that the present Barawarddar, the said Srinivasa, had been trying to get the Baraward transferred to his grandson's name with the intention of transferring the hak to his family. His Counter-petition was received in this Office for disposal. It was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for report, and the latter Officer has submitted his report on this and on the foregoing petitions

In the report, the Deputy Commissioner says, that the Assistant Commissioner Mr K Srinivasa Rao states that the rule requiring Baraavarddars themselves to do their duties is not being observed in the Melkote temple, but that they get their work done by their substitutes and that therefore the order of Govt directing Sugalchan to look after his duties personally and not by proxy deserves re-consideration, especially because Sugalchan is an old man and has been taking great interest in the temple.

As regards the counter-petitioners' contention, viz that Sugalchan has been trying to get the Baraavard transferred to his grandson's name with a view to transfer the hak to his family, the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

that though the appointment in question has not been hereditary in Singlachar's family, still considering the long service put in and the keen interest taken by the said Singlachar, his case deserves the favourable consideration of Govt

Submitted.

Muzrai Secretary's remarks.

Both parties have submitted petitions in this case. Singlachar, the present holder of the appointment under Govt Pro No. 1717/Muz.F. 150-92, dated 15th December 1903, complains that that portion of the Govt Order which directs that he should perform the Bidimaniya duties personally is very inconvenient to him as the pay of the appointment is only Rs 1-8-0 per mensem. He prays therefore that he may be permitted to get the work done by a substitute. The Assistant Commissioner Mr. K.Srinivasa Rao also is opini- that the Order may be reconsidered on that point

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

I also think that he may be permitted to get the work done by a substitute as is done in many cases in large temples.

The same petitioner further prays that in consideration of the long service rendered in that appointment by himself and his son (deceased) ever since 1866, the Baraward may be made in the name of his adopted grandson viz., Rangaswami alias Sreerangachar, who is now a minor. On this point it was stated in the Govt Pro referred to above that the question as to who the next Barawarddar should be did not arise during the life-time of petitioner Singlachar. The opposing petitioner Venkatanarasimha Char complains that Singlachar is trying to get the appointment settled in his grandson's name. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case, it is my humble opinion that, rather than leave the matter to the future, it would perhaps be best to settle the matter once for all, as all the available evidence is now before Govt. The petitioner Singlachar and his

son have been holding the office for many years past from the year 1866 and the other petitioner Venkatanarasimhachar and his ancestors lost touch with it from that date. In the Division Superintendent's Order of 1866, it is stated that the appointment could not be again given to the petitioner (Tirunaraniengar, the father of the present petitioner Venkatanarasimhachar), but it was also observed that as he was young and poor, he might be appointed to the post if Singlachar who had already been appointed gave up the post for some reason or other. Now, Singlachar has not given up the post, his son got the post at the request of Singlachar and again after the son's death, Singlachar is holding the office and he now asks that his adopted grandson might be declared as his future successor.

Under these circumstances, I am of the humble opinion that the succession might be declared in favour of Singlachar's grandson, and

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

that petitioner Venkatarangi Bachar may be informed that he has lost his claims over the office in question.

Submitted to the Dewan
thro' the II Councillor.

T. Ananthalakshmi Rao
5th December 1905,
Camp Srirangi.

143
30.12.05.

The appeal is not maintainable. Sanghachar resigns the office in favour of his grandson provided he is not permitted to appoint a Gumasta. Since the petition affecting the whole dowle & proxy is not submitted to the general Accountant, there is no reason why his prayer should not be granted. Peter may put forward his claim to the office when a vacancy occurs.

Put up draft
12/1/06

10684
Mr. Lewis may be given effect to
6.1.06.
Read
Off. Subdt
8/1/06

10604
4.1.06
Read 3/1/06
2/1/06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muz. 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note conts.

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Ord of the 14th January 1906 and the substance thereof was communicated to the parties concerned.

(Paras under disposal) Jeerigudi Venkatanarasimha Char of Melkote has addressed a petition to the Councillor praying that the Bidduniani appointment which was in the enjoyment of his family may be conferred on him.

Submitted with a draft Govt order

8/9/06

The case was once before fully gone into and finally disposed of.

(147) A draft Govt is put up

Submitted to the Dy Cr

Muntaz Ameth

7/9/06

Passed

8/9/06

Issue the draft

14/1993 Ld 10/9/06

Read 8/9/06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Venkata Narasimhachar was under his order informed that the previous order could not be modified Endorsement no 1650 Dy 12·9·06

He again petitioned Govt applying for Bidinnaniya Post on the ground that Singlachar was promoted to Block Parpattya Post, but he was referred to the Dy Commr × Encl no 5183 Dy 6·5·07

His subsequent petition of the 25th Decr 1907 (which is not forthcoming in the Records) having been forwarded for report to the Dy Commr, that officer invited reference Letter no 480 Dy 13·5·08 to the previous orders of Govt directing that Singlachar may get the work done by a substitute and that Venkatanarasimhachar cannot lay claim to it unless there is a vacancy. The substance of this report was communicated to Venkata Narasimhachar and copy thereof was forwarded to the Dy. Commr

Submitted for orders

By

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Date 52 853
REG. No 721
PRIVATE BRANCH

No 1539
2m2

Office of the Private Secretary
to H.H the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore 9th March 1903

Two Petitions as shown below to H
H the Maharaja are transferred to the
Deputy-Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzrai Department for ~
disposal

By Order
sd/ E. Maconochie
I.C.S
Private Secretary
to H.H the Maharajah
of Mysore

Nos 963 dy 5-3-03
964 " 7-3-03

53
721

9654

ఆంధ్ర - 3 1903

2. ప

MUZRAI

189

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 18th March 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 1907 & 1908
Muzrai F. No. 150-92

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to forward herewith, for report, Petn no 964 dt 7-3-03 addressed to H.H the Maharajah by Melkote Venkata Nara-simhachar praying that the "Bida" maniem appointment held by his father in the Melkote temple may be given to him -

By Order

Secretary
Muz: Dept

1st
17/3/03
Dy
Engd to Melkote

No 125/22

Rec'd. 14/8/03

No 515 Encl

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 11th August 1903
13

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, -s

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore

for/ in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, in continuation of

this Office No. 1569 dated 9th March 1903.

No. 212 dated 7th August 1903.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

AVB.

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Deputy Commr.
Mysore District

Dated 25th
Despatched June 1903
Received

General No. 2598 & 2599
Muzrai F. No. 150-92
Enclosure No.

In continuation of this office Docket-No 1907 dated 18th March 1903

Has the honor to forward herewith (under reply) Petn (No. 2765/1931 dated 12th May 1903) from Venkata Narasimhachar of Melkote praying that the Bidi Mamium appointment held by his father in the Melkote temple may be given to him in continuation of this office Docket No 1907/150-92 of 18th March 1903

Requests that the report therein called

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

called for may be expedited

B. G.

Dft

22/6/03

Dep Secretary

June 1903 Mun: Depart.

Entered

18/6/03

2nd Reminder

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commr.
Mysore Dt.

Dated 20 August 1903
Despatched 21
Received

General No. 501
Muzrai F. No. 150-92 & 502

Enclosure No. 1

× no ANGE 1907 dated 18th march 1903
no 2598 dated 25th June 1903

In continuation of the marginally-noted communications from this office, has the ~~honor to~~ forward herewith ~~in continuation of this Office~~ no 2598 d/ 25th June 1903 another Petition no 212-575 (read in the margin as 125-222) d/ 7th August 1903 addressed (the 14th instant) to H.H the Maharaja by Venkata narasimha char & melkote iyengar to be given the Bida manium appoin in the Sri Narasimhaswamy

temple at Melkote.

By order
11/26/9/03
Dy Secy
M & W Dept

The early of the report already called for should be expedited

Dft
19/8/03.

Encl Returned

MYS GOVERNMENT
335
MYSORE SECRETARIAT

1903-04

From The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist. &c

To The Deputy Secretary to Government, Mysore Secretariat. —

Dated

Despatched 7th September 1903

Received

General No 57
No.

Enclosure No. 166

9/9/03

He

Reporting on the "Bidi Mani" abhoumbant in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote. —

MGCPB—50 1eams—6 12-02

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 7th September 1903.

From

M. Kanaraj Urs Esq. B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

L. Ananthasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Deputy Secretary to Govern
ment, Muzrai Department.

Sir

In reply to your Docket No. 1907/150-92 dated 18th March 1903, I have the honor to state that the appointment of "Bidi Mani" in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote was originally in the name of Tirumaranienger (petitioner's father), and during his minority, a gumastha had been appointed. This Gumastha was removed for some fault and one Singlachar was appointed to the place subsequently. This Singlachar got the bar award transferred to

to his son Sampathkumaracher who is since dead. The deceased Sampath Kumaracher has an adopted minor son Rangasami alias Srirangachar The Sub Division Officer recommends that the barawad maybe made in the minor's name, and a gumastha by name Ra manujiengar may be appointed to look after the duties till the minor attains his majority.

2. When in the year 1871, the barawad was made in the name of Singlachar, the petitioner's father Tirumaranengar protested against it. But it was ordered by the Ashtagram Division Superintendent in his No. 38 dated 17th April 1871, that if Singlachar were to give up his appointment on any account at any time then the barawad might be made in the name of Tirumaranengar.

Thereafter Sampathkumaracher son of Singlachar is dead leaving only minor

son. The appointment has not been hereditary in his family but that of the petitioner

Hence the records are herewith submitted for consideration and orders of Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Mysore Secretariat

Read :-

Memorials nos 964/721 and 125/227 dated 9th March and 13th August 1903 respectively, addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by Ganapadi Venkatanarasimhachar of Melkote, praying for "Bidimanisa", appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote.

Also letter no 671/335 dated 7th September 1903, from the Dy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the above.

Order :-

The "Bidimanisa" appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote

No 1717 Ecy/Gole
Mem. F. 150-92
Dy 15.12.03.

(4)

claims the "Bidimanie"

appointment on the ground

that it had been wrongly

given to the deceased's father

Singlachar when his

(petitioner's) father Timmarayiengar

was a minor in 1866 and

that he was not aware of the transfer of

the Bidimanie ~~[illegible]~~

to Singlachar's son at

the time of the revision of

the temple Establishment effected

by the late Mysore Sanctions

in 1900. On the other hand,

the deceased Padmanabha's

father Singlachar says

that as the appointment

was held by him and

his son for about 34 years

since 1866, the bandi

may be made in the name of

the deceased's minor adopted

son Rangaswami alias

alias Lawangoekar
a Gumashta being
to do duty during his
minority.

5. The Sub-Division
Officer of Gauch-Rocks recommends
the prayer of Singlekhar,
but the Dy Commissioner
observes that the appoint-
-ment has not been here-
-ditary in his family,
but that of the memo-
-rialist.

6. The Government
consider that it is
unnecessary now to enter
into the question of the
petitioner's right to the
appointment in
Singlekhar, who held
as the Sub-Barwanker
the appointment from
1866 up to 1899 and who

(1(6)

Voluntarily had the
appointment transferred
to the name of his son
(since dead) is still
~~living~~ able bodied and is willing
to perform the duties of the
Office, having permanently
taken up his residence
in Melkolta.

~~7. During all these~~
~~circumstances into Consideration~~
~~the~~ Govt are pleased to
direct that the Borrowed
of the "Bidimania"
appointment be restored to
the name of Singlehar,
instead of in the name of
the deceased's minor
Son. Singlehar should
be directed to look after
the duties himself and
not by any proxy. The
Commissioner

Manavalest- Venkata
Narasimhachari's claim
does not arise as long
as Singlachari holds
the appointment.

From the records
it is also observed that
the deceased Sampat-
-Kumaracharı held in
the same temple Stanika's
and Paricharaka's appoint-
ments in addition to the
"Bidimanika" appointment.
2
There is no dispute about
these two appointments.
They may therefore also
be given to the said Singlachari
~~who thereby has only to~~
~~discharge his attending~~
~~to the duties thereof.~~

The Dy Commr
may see Dl-, returning
the Ver: Records—

(Devan's order)
ks
11/12/03

17/12/03
Dy Secretary

No. 2620 Dt. 29.2.04
Mur-F. 150-92

MUZRAI

1904 —1905.

From The Secretary to Govt of Mysore, Muzr: Deptt.

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 6th August '04.

Received

General No. 656 & 657
Muzrai F. No. 150-92

Enclosure (1)

Has the honor to forward herewith petition Regd No 3414 dated 24 June 1904 from Kejjehatti Singaca Baraward of the Bidmian appointment in the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote, stating that the pay attached to the post is very small, that in consideration of the services rendered by his ancestors either some increase of pay may be sanctioned or the baraward transferred to his grandson's name and that in case neither could be done, he may be considered to have resigned the appointment

2. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report upon the above with his opinion in the matter.

Byrnes
3/8/84
Secretary

WSK.

mwk: Dept

no. 657

Asst Sec'y [illegible] 3/8/84

Office of the Private Secretary
to H H The Maharaja of
Mysore

13th 7.6.

Petition, as shown below, to H. H. the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary, Muz. Deptt., Bangalore, for disposal.

By order,
sd/- E. Muchumurthi
Private secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja.

No: 1442 of 06-05.

Copy

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The Secretary

To Mucz Wchle
The Dy. Commr
Mysore Dist

Dated 24

Despatched 7 Feb 1905.

Received

General No. 3912 & 3213
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure one

In continuation
Govt. Gr. no 1417
M: 7: 150-04
dy 15th Decr 1903, appointing
Srigalchar as the Barawa-
dar of the Bidumani
appointment in the
Sri Narayana Swami
temple at Melkote,
has the honor to for-
ward herwith for enquiry and
report thereon, petition ho. 3
dy 24th Jany 1905.

MGOPB—2,000—9-6-02

1905-06

From
H. H. Sharkey Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

To
L. Anantasamiah Esq B.A
Secy: to Govt, Judicial Department.

MYSORE GOVT.
2147
14-11-05

Dated 11-11-05
Despatched 12-11-05
Received
General No. 258
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submits report on the petitions of Sejje Hatti Singlachar and Venkata Narasimhachar in re the bar award of the Bidanuiga appointment in the Sri Narayanaswamy temple at Melkote.

14.11.05

Encl: Returned with Govt. Rec. & Report

Petns. Regd. Appl. & Statement

also returned.

Office of the Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District

No 258 / 15 Fin

Dated Mysore 12th November 1905

To

L. Ananthasami Row Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Municipal Department
Bangalore

Sir,

No 656 / Municipal 150-92 dated 4.8.1904
No 3212. dated 24.X.1905
D.O. dated 31.10.1905

Adverting to your two dockets and D.O letter as noted in the margin forwarding for report petitions registered Nos 3414 dated 24th June 1904 and No. 3026 dated 24th January 1905 (herewith returned) received from Sajjehatti Singlachar and Venkata Narasimha Char respectively regarding the Barawand of the Bidamaniya appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, French Rocks Sub Taluk, I have the honor to invite kind reference to Government Proceedings No 1717 / Municipal 150-92 dated 15th December 1903, and to state that Singlachar requests that in consideration of the long

long services rendered by him, the hereditary might be ordered to be transferred in his grandson's name, some increase of pay being sanctioned, and that the restriction of Government viz: that he should look after the duties himself and not by any proxy be kindly removed (Vide Statement of Facts No 4).

2. The French Rocks divisional Assistant Commissioner (Mr. K Sreenivasa Rao) states that the rule viz that the Baraweedar should himself do the duties is not being observed in the melkote temple; that while many Baraweedars have kept substitutes for them the Government order directing that Singlachar should look after the duties himself and not by any proxy deserves reconsideration by Government. He further adds that, as Singlachar is an old man taking great interest in the temple affairs, it would, in his opinion be equitable to comply with his request.

3. Venkata Narasimhachar contends that Singlachar has been trying to get the Baraweedar transferred to his grandson's name

name with the intention of transferring the "hak" to his family.

4. As already observed (Vide para 5 of the Government Proceedings quoted above) the appointment in question has not been hereditary in Singha's family but the long service extending over a number of years and the keen interest which Singha is reported to be taking in the affairs of the Temple deserves favourable consideration of Government.

5. The file of vernacular records bearing on the subject and ending with Yadi No. 1435/906 dated 5.4.05 received from the Assistant Commissioner, French Rocks division, is accompanied. I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

H H Parker

Deputy Commissioner

Myaungmya District

CPS
R.A

(Obtain Dewan's order)

Murzai.

Read again:—

Govt. Ro: no 1717 –
Murz: 7150-92

dd 15th Dec. 1903, directing that the Paravard of the 'Paidimania' appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melko be restored to the name of Singlachar on the condition that he should look after the duties himself & not by proxy, and that the claim of Venkata Narasimhachar dies not arise as long as Singlachar held the appt.

Read:—

Petn no 3414 dd 24th June 1904 from Singlachar

~~complaining that that~~ Recommendation of

~~portion of the Govt. order~~ the Bandmaster's

~~recd above which directs~~ appointment-

~~that he should perform~~

~~the Ridiculous duties personally~~

~~is very inconvenient. Also~~

~~as the pay of the appointment~~

~~is only Rs 18-0 per mensem &~~

praying ~~therefore~~ that he

may be permitted to get the

work done by a Substitute and send- the Bandmaster

to work in the name of his adopted grandson-

~~And~~,

Petn No 1442 of

addressed to the Maharaja, by

24th Jan.y 1905, Rama Venkatanarasimhayar,

complaining that Singaracharlu

is trying to get the appointment

settled on his grandsons name

~~Also~~,

Letter No 258 of 11th Nov.r 1905

from the Dy Commr., Mysore District, reporting on the above ~~read~~ two Petns.

Order thereon:— No. 30025/17.1.06.

Encs. one Petn.

The petitioner Sreenivasaiya has been holding the office of Bidirigaya for many years past from the year 1866 and the other Petr. Venkatanarasimhachar has succeeded to him as the hereditary Bidirigaya. Complaints have been received from time to time against the petitioner Sreenivasaiya, the present holder of the Bidirigaya appointment, complaining that the functions of the said office which directs that he should perform the Bidirigaya duties personally is very inconvenient to him as the pay of the appointment is only Rs 1-8-0 per mensem. He prays therefore that he be permitted to get the work done by a

~~read~~ above

^

~~from~~ 1866 and ~~the~~ ~~Sreenivasaiya~~ ~~Venkatanarasimhachar~~

~~has~~ ~~succeeded~~ ~~to~~ ~~have~~ ~~lost~~ ~~touch~~ ~~with~~ ~~it~~ ~~from~~ ~~that~~ ~~date~~. ~~In~~ ~~the~~ ~~Division~~ ~~Superintendent's~~ ~~order~~ ~~of~~ ~~1866~~, ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~stated~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~appointment~~ ~~could~~

not be again given to the
late Mr. Trivikramacharyar, the
father of the present Petr.
Venkatavaradachariar), but it was also observed
that as he was young & poor,
he might be appointed
to the post if Singaracharlu
who had already been appointed
gave up the post & some
reason or other Now,
Singaracharlu has not given
up the post. His son got
the post at the request of
Singaracharlu and again after
the sons death, Singaracharlu
is holding the office & he now
asks

a substitute.

The Asst. Comrs. of Triplicane-Rocks, Mrs. K. Srinivasa Row, also etc is of opinion that the Petrs request may be favorably considered.

As the practice of getting the work done by proxy is generally observed in the Sri Parthasarathi Swami temple at Triplicane, the Govt. are pleased to direct that the petitioner Singaracharlu may also be permitted to get the work done by a substitute.

2. As regards his further prayer that, in consideration of the long service rendered in

in head- appointment-
by himself and his
son (deceased) the
Document may be made in the
name of his adopted grandson, the
Govt- Should already
Obtain Govt- Sanction
decide Govt- the
question as to who ~~should~~
the next- Dharmakarta
should be ~~dies~~ not-
arise during ~~the~~ life-
time. He should be
informed accordingly.

2. The petitioner
Venkata Narasimhachar
will be informed that-
the office is not- vacant-
and that- he ~~cannot~~
lay claim to it unless there
is a vacancy.

(Demi-official) 14/1/06

13/1/06

asks that this adoption
should be declared
as his future succession.

2. The Govt- observe
that the office is not vacant.
Srinivachar resigns it in
favour of his grandson
provided he is not permitted
to appoint a Gumasta
Since the practice of getting
the work done by proxy
is generally observed, the
Govt- see no reason why
Srinivachar's prayer should
not be granted. The Govt-
are accordingly pleased
to direct that the succession
to the appointment of Pidivarayi

be declared in favour
of Sinclair's candidature.
The other Petr. Venkatanarasimhachar
may put forward his claim
to the Office when a vacancy
occurs.

Dewan's order)

Legl Secy Dept.

---

by Elliot

2. The Dy Commr
Mysore Dist Records

End. Dept. No. 3003.
No. 3004.
2 Venkatanarasimhachar

2 పేజీలు

No. 300H/17.06.06

శ్రీ సీతారామచంద్ర స్వామివారి
వారసత్వపు మీసం తాళిసిన రాజులు
శ్రీ రామపురం

మీ లెటర్ తేదీ 15-6-06 కు సంబంధించిన —

సీతా శ్రీ రామచంద్ర స్వామి వారి
సాంప్రదాయం కోసం గతించిపోయిన రాజుల
కోరిక 1903 నుండి ఇప్పటివరకు
నెరవేర్చడానికి ఇప్పటికీ
ఈ విధంగా చేయడం జరుగుతుంది
ఈ విధంగా చేయడం వల్ల
మీసం తాళిసిన రాజులు

2 పేజీలు

ఫ్రం/3003 బి/17.1.00

తే

శ్రీమన్మహారాజశ్రీ వెల్లోడి కామాంబిక గవర్నమెంటు వకీలు గారి
గవర్నమెంటు పనులు

మీ లెటర్ తారీఖు 14 నాటి నెం 1 వ శ్రీనాథం
యిక్కడ నిన్న మీ యింటి వద్ద చేర్చిన దస్తావేజు
మళ్ళీ యిందు యిమిడ పంపుచున్నది
ఓప్పు కొంగళాంచూన్ వ్రాసి—

నివృత్తి మంతి గోపాలకృష్ణయ్యర్ తెలియు
తే 1904 సెప్టెంబరు 24 నాటి రిజిష్టరు నెం 3414 నాటి
రు లు ఇచ్చిన కొత్త యింతిమిక్షలు పంపించుచున్నాం
రు తీసుకొన్నట్లు రసీదు పంపవలసినది తెలియజేయగలరు
ధన్యవాదములతో

నివేదకుడు గోపాలకృష్ణయ్యర్

దస్తావేజు తీసుకొన్నట్లు రసీదు
వసూలు నగదు రు లు తెలుపుచు
లు లు నెం యిచ్చిన లు కేలు
వంకే [illegible]

Re

Muz. 21]

ನಂ. 1650 / 06

12. ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮಂ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುದ್ರಾಯಿ ಇಲ್ಲಾವೆಯೆಂಡಾ

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇರೆ 23 ನೇ

ಮಕ್ಕಂ 1124 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

[illegible]

By order,

Secretary.

5

GPB—1,000—18-6-06.

4-565

మీ సూచనకోసం చేయు మనవి ఇలాంటి కేసులలో
వారికి తెలియజేయవలసి ఉంది

మీలుపొందే సౌకర్యాలు అందుబాటులో ఉన్నవి కేవలం కొన్ని మాత్రమే ఇంకా
అనేక సౌకర్యాలు కావలసిన వారు ఈ సంస్థలో సభ్యులుగా చేరవచ్చు

సంస్థ ఆశయాలకు వ్యతిరేకంగా ఎవరు ప్రవర్తించినా వారిపై చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం జరుగుతుంది
కావున ఎవరైనా సంస్థ ఆశయాలకు వ్యతిరేకంగా ప్రవర్తిస్తే వారిపై చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం
జరుగుతుంది అని మీ సంస్థ తీర్మానం తేదీ 15-12-03 మేరకు తీ.నం. 1717/15-12-03
మీ సంస్థ సభ్యులలో కొందరు సంస్థ ఆశయాలకు వ్యతిరేకంగా ప్రవర్తిస్తున్నారని
తెలియజేస్తూ వారిపై చర్యలు తీసుకోవలసిందిగా కోరుతూ మీరు వ్రాసిన లేఖ
మాకు అందినది. ఆ లేఖలో పేర్కొన్న వ్యక్తులు సంస్థ ఆశయాలకు వ్యతిరేకంగా
ప్రవర్తించినట్లు మీ వద్ద ఏమైనా ఆధారాలు ఉన్నట్లయితే వాటిని మాకు అందజేయగలరు.
ఆధారాలు లేనట్లయితే ఆ వ్యక్తులు సంస్థ ఆశయాలకు వ్యతిరేకంగా ప్రవర్తించినట్లు
ఎలా నిర్ధారించగలమో తెలియజేయగలరు.

3-4-07 తారీఖు వరకు మీ నుండి ఎటువంటి సమాచారం అందకపోతే
ఆ వ్యక్తులపై ఎటువంటి చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం జరగదని
భావించవలసి ఉంటుంది.

6.4.07

ఇట్లు

కార్యదర్శి

By order

Secretary

30/4/07

Draft as per orders

Muz. 1 A] 12.1.08

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq, B.A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 17.1.08

Received

General No. 3261
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No one

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of report petition registered no. 2614 dated 28th December 1907 from Venkatanarasimha char of Melkote urging his claims to the Bidirmanidappointment of the Sri Narayanaswamy temple at melkote.

By order

No. 3262
Encl

GBP -3,000 copies 21-9-07

DH 16/1/08
16/1/08 Secretary



1907—08

From

M. Kantarajus Esq., B.A

Dy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

L. Anantasamni Rao Esq., B.A.

Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Gen. & Rev.~~ Depts.

Dated 13th May 08

Despatched

Received

General No. 480

No.

Enclosure No. 16 file

Reply
RA
15/5/08
W

Subject.

Submits report on the petition from Venkata Narasimha Chetty of Melkote

No. 480
Enc. No. 16 file

OFFICE OF THE. Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 13th May 1908.

To

L Anantasawmi Rao Esq., B.A.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Finance 3

~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In reply to your docket No. 3261 dated 17th January 1908 forwarding for report petition (herewith returned) from Venkata narasimha char of Melkote urging his claims to the Bidimania appointment of the Sri narayana Swami temple at Melkote in the French Rocks Sub Taluk, I have the honor to invite attention to Government Proceedings No. 3002 dated 17th January 1906 wherein it is directed that Singla char may be permitted to get the work of Bidimania done by a substitute and that the petitioner Venkatnarasimha char cannot lay claim to the Bidimanish office unless there is a vacancy.

2. The file of vernacular papers bearing on the subject received with the Sub Division officer's yadast No. 207/723 dated 29.3.08 is herewith 19.4.08 returned

Draft for approval

Submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
by Sungaree District

6/6

5544
12-6-1908

Draft for approval

Murrai

Endorsement granted to Venkata Narasimhaphar of melkote, with reffe to his petn. Regd. no. 2614, dated 23rd December 1907, urging his claims to the Bidmania appointment in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, French Rocks Sub Taluk.

In reply, the petr. is refd. to the Endorsement ~~above~~ no. 3004, dated 17th January 1908, already issued to him, stating that the post in question is not vacant, and that he cannot lay claim to it unless there is a vacancy. That order is final and cannot be modified.

By order,

555 file 12/6/1908

P. T. O.

5/6/08
Secretary
to Govt
Rev
Dept

Copy is forwarded to the
Deputy Commissioner, Myoria
&c, with reference to his letter No 480,
dated 18th May 1908, re 3 prisoners.

By Order,

Secretary